

Checklist for creating a wind field library

Project:
Author:

Date:

Section in VDI 3783 Part 16	Check item	N/A	Applicable/ performed	Section/ page in the expert report
1	Scope of application			
	Prognostic wind field model			
	Wind field model in accordance with the requirements of VDI 3783 Part 7?		<input type="checkbox"/>	
	Expertise and extensive experience with mesoscale prognostic wind field models have been demonstrated (e.g. references)?		<input type="checkbox"/>	
5.2	Constructing and testing prognostic model areas			
	Meteorological data			
	Meteorological data represent the regional topographic effects?		<input type="checkbox"/>	
	TA Luft model grid			
	Calculation area and calculation grid for the air quality prediction defined with the TA Luft model as specified by TA Luft?		<input type="checkbox"/>	
	Wind field model grid			
	Relevant terrain elevations documented and dataset choice substantiated?		<input type="checkbox"/>	
	Relevant roughness lengths and their up-to-dateness documented and choice of dataset substantiated?		<input type="checkbox"/>	
	Vertical extension of the wind field model at least 5 km plus the boundary points?		<input type="checkbox"/>	
	Horizontal domain size of the wind field model is three times the extension of the calculation area for the air quality prediction as per TA Luft, but at least 15 km × 15 km plus the boundary points?		<input type="checkbox"/>	
	In the area of the wind field model, all significant topographic structures have been recorded that can affect the flow in the calculation area of the TA Luft model but are not included in the data of the meteorological time series?		<input type="checkbox"/>	
	Requirements of VDI 3783 Part 7 regarding minimum grid widths are met?		<input type="checkbox"/>	
	If the grid width of the wind field model is greater than those of the TA Luft model: all the terrain structures relevant for the dispersion resolved with at least three grid points?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	If there is deviation from the above point: has this been technically justified?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	At least ten edge-parallel rows midway between the outer margin of the wind field model and the TA Luft calculation area?		<input type="checkbox"/>	

Section in VDI 3783 Part 16	Check item	N/A	Applicable/performed	Section/page in the expert report
	Smoothing the margins			
	Terrain elevation at the margins of the model grid have been smoothed as specified by the standard (three-point filter, weights as specified, at least ten applications over at least ten rows)?		<input type="checkbox"/>	
	Case 1: Smoothing with the standard's downloadable program?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	Case 2: Smoothing with some other implementation as per the standard?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	Testing the model domains			
	It has been demonstrated, through test calculations over several approach flow directions and stabilities, that with the chosen model grid the expected topographic effects on the flow in the calculation area of the TA Luft model are adequately resolved and the calculations are numerically stable?		<input type="checkbox"/>	
	General description without detailed results of the test calculations		<input type="checkbox"/>	
	Have the requirements of VDI 3783 Part 7 as regards quality control of the model calculations been complied with?		<input type="checkbox"/>	
5.3	Prognostic model calculations			
	Generating the sampling points			
	72 prognostic model calculations (18 wind directions at 20° intervals, starting with approach flow from the north in each of the stability classes I, II, III/1 and V) performed and resulting wind fields used as sampling points for the interpolation in parameter space?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	Justification provided for using a different procedure	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	Driving data			
	Calculated values of the potential temperature gradient (below ca. 1500 m above ground) and of the geostrophic wind speed from Table 1 of the standard have been used?		<input type="checkbox"/>	
	Above ca. 1500 m above ground: ICAO standard atmosphere used?		<input type="checkbox"/>	
	If a model-specific requirement: other driving data explained, consistency with data of Table 1 discussed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	Quality control			
	Individual checking of every model calculation for plausibility and perturbations carried out?		<input type="checkbox"/>	
	General description without detailed results		<input type="checkbox"/>	
	Consistency testing of all model calculations in parameter space carried out?		<input type="checkbox"/>	
	General description without detailed results		<input type="checkbox"/>	
5.4	Interpolation in parameter space			
	Sufficient number of sampling points for bilinear interpolation in parameter space?		<input type="checkbox"/>	
	If the prerequisites for bilinear interpolation have been met, were missing wind fields been generated by bilinear interpolation as per the standard?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	If the prerequisites for bilinear interpolation have not	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Section in VDI 3783 Part 16	Check item	N/A	Applicable/performed	Section/page in the expert report
	been met, has an alternative $1/r^2$ interpolation as per the standard been used?			
	If the prerequisites for bilinear interpolation have not been met, has an alternative interpolation procedure been used and justified?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
5.5	Interpolation to the grid of the TA Luft model			
	Grid definition			
	Were wind components assigned to the exact position of the grid points (with offset were necessary, e.g. with the Arakawa C-grid, non-equidistant grids allowed for)?		<input type="checkbox"/>	
	Vertical interpolation			
	Linear interpolation of the Cartesian components between the layers of the wind field model?		<input type="checkbox"/>	
	Interpolation between the ground and the first reference surface of the wind field model at least with logarithmic wind profile in the case of neutral stratification?		<input type="checkbox"/>	
	Documented interpolation between the ground and the first reference surface of the wind field model with stability-dependent logarithmic wind profiles (e.g. VDI 3783 Part 8)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	Horizontal interpolation			
	Horizontal interpolation as per the standard done solely within the model layers of the TA Luft model?		<input type="checkbox"/>	
	If the grid width in the target grid is smaller than the grid width in the starting grid: bilinear interpolation of the Cartesian components in x - and y -direction?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	If the grid width in the target grid is smaller than the grid width in the starting grid: area-weighted interpolation of the Cartesian components as per the standard?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
5.6	Calculation of a final wind field library			
	Non-divergent wind field calculated, and method stated?		<input type="checkbox"/>	
	Modification of the wind field by buildings allowed for and method stated?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
6.1	Defining the substitute anemometer position			
	Alternative 1: procedure in accordance with the standard			
	Reference profiles calculated with the same model as the wind field library in accordance with the standard's requirements?		<input type="checkbox"/>	
	EAP defined with the standard's downloadable program TAL-Anemo?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	EAP defined and documented with a different implementation of the procedure as per the standard?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	Case 1: A unique EAP is found with the above method and will be used?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	Case 2: An unique EAP could not be found. Final EAP will be defined using the substitute anemometer height as per Section Fehler! Verweisquelle konnte nicht gefunden werden..	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Section in VDI 3783 Part 16	Check item	N/A	Applicable/performed	Section/page in the expert report
Alternative 2: different procedure				
	EAP defined differently and the technically justified procedure documented?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	It has been verified that at the EAPs there is rotation of the wind at the anemometer height in the same sense as the rotation of the driving wind direction?		<input type="checkbox"/>	
6.2	Defining the substitute anemometer height			
	Roughness within a radius of 200 m from the EAP defined as per Section Fehler! Verweisquelle konnte nicht gefunden werden. (if necessary, differently for the height levels between ground and 100 m)?		<input type="checkbox"/>	
	For each roughness, associated substitute anemometer height read from the meteorological time series?		<input type="checkbox"/>	
	Validity heights of the EAPs (in accordance with the evaluated model level) and substitute anemometer heights checked for agreement?		<input type="checkbox"/>	
	Case 1: Only one valid combination of EAP and substitute anemometer height found and selected?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	Case 2: Several valid combinations of EAP and substitute anemometer heights found and a technically justified selection made?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	Case 3: No valid combination of EAP and substitute anemometer height found or the above deviated from. selection of the final EAP and substitute anemometer height technically justified?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
The EAPs used				
	Are EAPs and substitute anemometer height stated?		<input type="checkbox"/>	

